## **International Journal of Applied Mathematics**

Volume 35 No. 6 2022, 887-901

 $ISSN:\ 1311\text{-}1728\ (printed\ version);\ ISSN:\ 1314\text{-}8060\ (on\mbox{-line}\ version)$ 

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.12732/ijam.v35i6.7

# ON RANDOM MAPS CORRELATED WITH RANDOM DENSITIES

Mohamed Hmissi<sup>1 §</sup>, Farida Mokchaha<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Science, Department of Mathematics and Statistics Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University Riyadh-11623, SAUDI ARABIA

<sup>2</sup>College of Science, Department of Mathematics and Statistics Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University Riyadh-11623, SAUDI ARABIA

**Abstract:** Let  $q=(q_{ij}): 1 \leq i \leq I, 1 \leq j \leq J$  be a bivariate probability vector, let  $T=(T_1,\cdots,T_I)$  be a sequence of  $\rho$ -nonsingular transformations defined on a probability space  $(E,\mathcal{B},\rho)$  and let  $\mathbf{f}^{"}=(f_1,\cdots,f_J)$  be a sequence of densities in  $L^1(\rho)$ . In this paper, we construct in a natural way, a discrete random dynamical system (with skew product  $\Phi$ ) generated by T and the first marginal of q and a random density  $\xi$  generated by  $\mathbf{f}^{"}$  and the second marginal of q. Moreover, we characterize the  $\Phi$ -invariance of  $\xi$ .

AMS Subject Classification: 37H10, 37A05, 37H05, 37H99

**Key Words:** bivariate probability vector; random dynamical system; random map; random density; Frobenius-Perron operator; absolutely continuous invariant measure

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{I} = \{1, \dots, I\}; \mathbb{J} = \{1, \dots, J\}$  and  $q = (q_{ij}) : i \in \mathbb{I}, j \in \mathbb{J}$  be an associated bivariate probability vector. Define  $\Omega := (\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J})^{\mathbb{N}}, \pi_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}$  be the canonical projection of index  $n, \mathcal{F} := \sigma(\pi_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$  generated by

Received: October 3, 2022

© 2022 Academic Publications

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

all canonical projections, and  $\theta: \Omega \to \Omega$  be the left shift on  $\Omega$ . Using a classical extension Theorem of Kolmogorov, we construct first (Proposition 1) a probability measure  $\mathbf{P}$  on the measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that the left shift  $\theta$  is  $\mathbf{P}$ -preserving.

Let  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \rho)$  be a probability space and let  $T = (T_i) : i \in \mathbb{I}$  be a sequence of  $\rho$ -nonsingular transformations defined on  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \rho)$ . By putting  $a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij}$  (the first marginal of q) and

$$\phi(\omega, x) = T_i(x)$$
 with probability  $a_i$ ;  $i \in \mathbb{I}, x \in E$ ,

we define a random dynamical system  $(\theta, \phi)$  such that the associated skew product  $\Phi$  is  $(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)$ -nonsingular (Propositions 4, 6).

Let  $f = (f_j) : j \in \mathbb{J}$  be a sequence of densities in  $L^1(\rho)$ . By putting  $b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{I} q_{ij}$  (the second marginal of q) and

$$\xi(\omega, x) = T_j(x)$$
 with probability  $b_j$ ;  $j \in \mathbb{J}, x \in E$ ,

we obtain a random density on the product space  $(\Omega \times E, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)$  (Propositions 7, 9).

Finally, we prove (Theorem 11) that  $\xi$  is  $\Phi$ -invariant, that is

$$\int \int_{\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P} = \int \int_{F \times B} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P}; \quad F \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{B},$$

if and only if  $f_1, \dots, f_J$  are identical (to some  $f \in L^1(\rho)$ ) and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} a_i P_{T_i} f = f,$$

where  $P_{T_i}$  is the Frobeinus-Perron operator of the deterministic map  $T_i$ .

Notice that if the marginals  $(a_i)$  and  $(b_j)$  are identical and independent, the preceding result is proved (Theorem 7) in our paper [6].

The paper is organized as follows: In the second section, we give the background required to establish our main results according to [1],[2],[3],[4],[12]. In the third section, we set and prove the results cited above.

#### 2. Preliminaries

## 2.1. Densities for deterministic maps

For the following classical concepts, we refer the reader to the monographs [3],[4], [8], [12]. In fact, since the present paper is a generalization of our article [6], all preliminaries are taken from this paper.

Let  $(Y, \Gamma, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $L^1(\mu)$  be the Banach space of all (classes of)  $\mu$ -integrable real-valued functions defined on Y with the  $L^1$ -norm  $\|f\|_1 = \int_Y |f| d\mu$ . A function  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  is called density if  $f \geq 0$  and  $\int_Y f d\mu = 1$ . If  $\psi: Y \to Y$  is a measurable transformation, the triple  $(Y, \Gamma, \psi)$  is called a discrete dynamical system (DS). Denote by  $\psi\mu$  the image measure of  $\mu$  by  $\psi$ , that is,  $\psi\mu(A) := \mu(\psi^{-1}(A)); A \in \Gamma$ . If  $\psi\mu = \mu$ ,  $\psi$  is said to be  $\mu$ -preserving. If  $\psi\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  (i.e.  $\psi\mu <<\mu$ ),  $\psi$  is said to be  $\mu$ -nonsingular.

Let  $\psi$  be a  $\mu$ -nonsingular transformation of the probability space  $(Y, \Gamma, \mu)$ . The associated Frobenius-Perron (F-P) operator  $P_{\psi}: L^{1}(\mu) \to L^{1}(\mu)$  is implicitly defined by the formula

$$\int_{A} P_{\psi} f \ d\mu = \int_{\psi^{-1}(A)} f \ d\mu; \qquad A \in \Gamma, f \in L^{1}(\mu).$$
 (1)

It is well known that  $P_{\psi}$  is a positive linear contraction on  $L^{1}(\mu)$ .

A density  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  is a fixed point of  $P_{\psi}$  (i.e.  $P_{\psi}f = f$ ) if and only if the measure  $\mu_f$  defined by  $\mu_f(A) := \int_A f(y) d\mu(y)$ ;  $(A \in \Gamma)$ , is invariant under  $\psi$ , that is,  $\psi \mu_f = \mu_f$ . In this case, f is called *invariant density for*  $\psi$ . In view of formula (1), f is an invariant density for  $\psi$  if and only if f is solution of the functional equation

$$\int_{\psi^{-1}(A)} f \ d\mu = \int_A f \ d\mu; \qquad A \in \Gamma.$$
 (2)

Many authors [3], [4], [8], [12], [15] have shown the existence of invariant densities for deterministic maps in a variety of settings.

### 2.2. Random densities for random dynamical systems

For the following notions, we will refer to [1], [6], [11].

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  and  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \rho)$  be two probability spaces.  $\Omega$  is always an infinite dimensional space while E is a finite dimensional space endowed with its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Next, we will consider the product space  $Y := \Omega \times E$  endowed

with the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  and the probability measure  $\mu = \mathbf{P} \otimes \rho$ . Any function  $\xi \in L^1(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)$  is called a random function. A nonnegative random function satisfying  $\int_{\Omega \times E} \xi \, d\mathbf{P} d\rho = 1$ , is called a random density. If  $\xi(\omega, x) = f(x)$ ;  $\omega \in \Omega, x \in E$ , for some density  $f \in L^1(\rho)$ ,  $\xi$  is called a deterministic density.

A (discrete time) random dynamical system (RDS) defined over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  and with state space E, is a pair  $(\theta, \phi)$  such that:

(i)  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, \theta)$  is a metric DS, i.e.  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \theta)$  is a measurable DS and  $\theta$  is **P**-preserving.

(ii) 
$$\phi: \Omega \times E \to E, (\omega, x) \mapsto \phi(\omega, x)$$
 is a measurable map.

In particular,  $\{\phi(\omega) := \phi(\omega, .) : \omega \in \Omega\}$  is a family of measurable transformations on E, called *fiber maps*. The associated *skew product* is the measurable map  $\Phi: \Omega \times E \to \Omega \times E$  defined by

$$\Phi(\omega, x) := (\theta\omega, \phi(\omega)x); \quad \omega \in \Omega, x \in E.$$
 (3)

It can be easily verified that for  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$\Phi^{-1}(F \times B) = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times E : \omega \in \theta^{-1}(F), x \in \phi(\omega)^{-1}(B).\}$$
(4)

If  $\Phi$  is  $(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)$ -nonsingular then, in view of equations (1) and (4), the associated F-P operator is implicitly defined by the formula

$$\int_{F} \int_{B} P_{\Phi} \xi \ d\rho \, d\mathbf{P} = \int_{\theta^{-1}(F)} \left( \int_{\phi(\omega)^{-1}(B)} \xi(\omega, x) d\rho(x) \right) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) \tag{5}$$

for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\xi \in L^1(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)$ ). Therefore, for a general RDS  $(\theta, \phi)$ , an invariant random density  $\beta$  is solution of the functional equation

$$\int_{\theta^{-1}(F)} \left( \int_{\phi(\omega)^{-1}(B)} \beta(\omega, x) d\rho(x) \right) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \int_{F} \int_{B} \beta \ d\rho d\mathbf{P}$$
 (6)

for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Equation (6) which is the random version of (2) was introduced in [11]. However, this equation seems to be very complicated to handle in general settings and there are only some restrictive results on this subject (cf. [2], [5], [9], [11]).

## 3. Random maps correlated with random densities

In this paper, we start from:

- (i) A probability space  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \rho)$ .
- (ii) A bivariate probability vector  $q = (q_{ij}) : 1 \le i \le J, 1 \le j \le J$ .
- (iii) A finite sequence  $T=(T_1, \dots, T_I)$  of  $\rho$ -nonsingular transformations defined on  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \rho)$ .
- (iv) A finite sequence  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots f_J)$  of deterministic densities in  $L^1(\rho)$ .

We then construct in a natural way, a discrete random dynamical system such that, the random map generated by T and the random density defined by f, are correlated by the bivariate probability vector q.

## 3.1. DS generated by a bivariate distribution

Denote by  $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and for  $I \geq 2, J \geq 2$ , let  $\mathbb{I} := \{1, \dots, I\}$  and  $\mathbb{J} := \{1, \dots, J\}$ . Let  $q := (q_{ij}); i \in \mathbb{I}, j \in \mathbb{J}$  be a bivariate probability vector, that is  $q_{ij} \in (0, 1)$  for all i, j and  $\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij} = 1$ .

Define  $\Omega := \{\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}, n \mapsto \omega(n)\}$  and  $\pi_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}$  the canonical projection of index  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (i.e.  $\pi_n(\omega) = \omega(n)$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F} := \sigma(\pi_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$  generated by all canonical projections. It is known that  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by the sets of the form

$$\{(\pi_0 = (i_0, j_0), \cdots, \pi_n = (i_n, j_n)) : n \in \mathbb{N};$$
 (7)

$$i_0, \cdots, i_n \in \mathbb{I}; j_0, \cdots, j_n \in \mathbb{J}.$$
 (8)

Now let  $\theta: \Omega \to \Omega$  be the left shift on  $\Omega$ , that is  $(\theta\omega)(n) = \omega(n+1)$ . In others words

$$\theta^{-1}\{(\pi_0 = (i_0, j_0), \cdots, \pi_n = (i_n, j_n))\} =$$
(9)

$$\{(\pi_1 = (i_0, j_0), \cdots, \pi_{n+1} = (i_n, j_n))\}$$
(10)

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  $i_0, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{I}$ ;  $j_0, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{J}$ .

**Proposition 1.** There exists a unique probability measure **P** on the measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  $i_0, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{I}$  and  $j_0, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{J}$ 

$$\mathbf{P}(\pi_0 = (i_0, j_0), \cdots, \pi_n = (i_n, j_n)) = q_{i_0 j_0} \cdots q_{i_n j_n}$$
(11)

In particular, the random variables  $\{\pi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are independent.

Moreover, the left shift  $\theta$  is **P**-preserving.

*Proof.* The family of finite distributions  $P_n: n \geq 1$  defined on  $(\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J})^n$  by

$$P_n((i_0,j_0)\cdots,(i_n,j_n)) = q_{i_0j_0}\cdots q_{i_nj_n}$$

is trivially consistent, that is  $P_{n+1}(A_n \times (\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J})) = P_n(A_n)$  for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $A_n \subset (\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J})^n$ . Hence by Kolmogorov's extension Theorem ([10], Fundamental Theorem), there exists a unique probability measure  $\mathbf{P}$  on the measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that (11) holds. Moreover, by taking F of the form (7) and by using (9) and (11) we get

$$(\theta \mathbf{P})(F) = (\theta \mathbf{P})(\pi_0 = (i_0, j_0), \dots, \pi_n = (i_n, j_n))$$

$$= \mathbf{P}(\theta^{-1} \{ \pi_0 = (i_0, j_0) \}, \dots, \theta^{-1} \{ \pi_n = (i_n, j_n) \})$$

$$= \mathbf{P}(\pi_1 = (i_0, j_0) \}, \dots, \pi_{n+1} = (i_n, j_n))$$

$$= q_{i_0 i_0} \dots q_{i_n i_n} = \mathbf{P}(\pi_0 = (i_0, j_0) \dots, \pi_n = (i_n, j_n))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}, i_0, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{I}$  and  $j_0, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{J}$ . Hence, in view of (7),  $\theta$  is **P**-preserving.

**Definition 2.** The metric DS  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, \theta)$  defined above, is called *canonical* dynamical system generated by the bivariate probability vector  $q = (q_{ij}); i \in \mathbb{I}, j \in \mathbb{J}$ .

Before we continue, let us fix some notations:

- 1- Since the canonical projection  $\pi_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{J}$ , we write  $\pi_n := (\pi_n^{(1)}, \pi_n^{(2)})$  where  $\pi_n^{(1)} : \Omega \to \mathbb{I}$  and  $\pi_n^{(2)} : \Omega \to \mathbb{J}$ , in a natural way.
- 2- Since  $q=(q_{ij}): 1\leq i\leq I, 1\leq j\leq J$  is a bivariate probability vector, we may associate its marginals

$$a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij}; \quad 1 \le i \le I \text{ and } b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{I} q_{ij}; \quad 1 \le j \le J$$
 (12)

Obviously  $(a_1, \dots, a_I)$  (resp.  $(b_1, \dots, b_J)$ ) is a probability vector on I (resp. J).

Since  $\Omega$  is the union of the disjoint sets  $\{\{\pi_0 = (i,j)\}: 1 \leq i \leq I, 1 \leq j \leq J\}$ , or  $\{\{\pi_0^{(1)} = i\}: 1 \leq i \leq I\}$  or  $\{\{\pi_0^{(2)} = j\}: 1 \leq j \leq J\}$  we obtain the following useful formula:

**Lemma 3.** For any random variable  $X \in L^1(\mathbf{P})$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} X d\mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{\{\pi_0 = (i,j)\}} X d\mathbf{P}$$
(13)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \int_{\{\pi_0^{(1)}=i\}} X d\mathbf{P} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{\{\pi_0^{(2)}=j\}} X d\mathbf{P}.$$
 (14)

Next we give us a probability space  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \rho)$  where E is always a finite dimensional space endowed with its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . We combine it with the canonical metric space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, \theta)$  given by Definition 2. Recall that the product space  $\Omega \times E$  is endowed with the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  and the product probability measure  $\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho$ .

## 3.2. Random maps and associated RDS

**Proposition 4.** Let  $T=(T_1,\cdots,T_I)$  be a finite sequence of  $\rho$ -nonsingular transformations defined on  $(E,\mathcal{B},\rho)$ . Define the fiber maps  $\phi:\Omega\times E\to E, (\omega,x)\mapsto \phi(\omega)(x)$  by

$$\phi(\omega)(x) := T_{\pi_0^{(1)}(\omega)}(x); \qquad \omega \in \Omega, \, x \in E.$$
 (15)

Then  $(\theta, \phi)$  is a RDS and

$$\mathbf{P}(\omega \in \Omega : \phi(\omega) = T_i) = a_i, \quad 1 \le i \le I, \tag{16}$$

where  $a_i$ ;  $1 \le i \le I$  is the marginal probability vector defined by (12).

*Proof.* In Proposition 1, we have already proved that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, \theta)$  is a metric DS.

The map  $\phi$  defined by (15) is measurable as composition of two measurable maps  $\Omega \times E \to \mathbb{I} \times E$ ;  $(\omega, x) \mapsto (\pi_0^{(1)}(\omega), x)$  and  $\mathbb{I} \times E \to E$ ;  $(i, x) \mapsto T_i(x)$ .

For any  $1 \le i \le I$ , we have

$$\{\phi(.) = T_i\} = \{\pi_0^{(1)} = i\} = \{\pi_0^{(1)} = i, \pi_0^{(2)} \in \mathbb{J}\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \{\pi_0 = (i, j)\}$$
 (17)

Hence, using (17) and (12) we get

$$\mathbf{P}(\{\phi(.) = T_i\}) = \mathbf{P}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \{\pi_0 = (i, j)\}) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij} = a_i$$

**Remarks 5.** 1- Formula (15) means that  $\phi(\omega) = T_{\omega_0^{(1)}}$  if  $\omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \cdots)$ . 2- Formula (16) means that

$$\phi(\omega) = T_i$$
 with probability  $a_i$ ;  $1 \le i \le I$ . (18)

In particular  $(T_i, a_i)$ :  $1 \le i \le I$  is a random map as defined in [13]. 3- Let  $\Phi$  be the skew product associated to the RDS defined by Proposition 4. From (3) and (15) we have

$$\Phi(\omega, x) = (\theta(\omega), T_{\pi_0^{(1)}(\omega)}(x)); \quad \omega \in \Omega, x \in E.$$
(19)

**Proposition 6.** For all  $B \in \mathcal{B}, F \in \mathcal{F}$ 

$$(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)(\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)) = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \mathbf{P}(F \cap \{\pi_0^{(1)} = i\}) \cdot \rho(T_i^{-1}(B)). \tag{20}$$

In particular  $\Phi$  is  $(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)$ -nonsingular.

*Proof.* For  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . By putting  $\Upsilon := \Phi^{-1}(F \times B)$ , the relation (4) becomes

$$\Upsilon = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times E : \omega \in \theta^{-1}(F) \text{ and } x \in T_{\pi_0(\omega)^{(1)}}^{-1}(B)\}.$$
 (21)

Hence, by putting  $\mu := \mathbf{P} \otimes \rho$  and by using (13) we get

$$\mu(\Upsilon) = \int \int_{\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)} \rho(dx) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \int_{\theta^{-1}(F \cap \{\pi_{0}^{(1)} = i\})} \left( \int_{T_{\pi_{0}^{(1)}(\omega)}^{-1}(B)} \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \int_{\theta^{-1}(F \cap \{\pi_{0}^{(1)} = i\})} \left( \int_{T_{i}^{-1}(B)} \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \left( \int_{\theta^{-1}(F \cap \{\pi_{0}^{(1)} = i\})} \mathbf{P}(d\omega) \right) \cdot \left( \int_{T_{i}^{-1}(B)} \rho(dx) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \mathbf{P}(F \cap \{\pi_{0}^{(1)} = i\}) \cdot \rho(T_{i}^{-1}(B)).$$

Suppose now that  $(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)(F \times B) = \mathbf{P}(F) \cdot \rho(B) = 0$ .

If  $\rho(B) = 0$  then  $\rho(T_i^{-1}(B)) = 0$  since  $T_i$  is  $\rho$ -nonsingular for each  $1 \le i \le I$  and therefore  $(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)(\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)) = 0$  in view of (20). If  $\mathbf{P}(F) = 0$  then  $\mathbf{P}(\theta^{-1}(F)) = 0$  since  $\theta$  is  $\mathbf{P}$ -preserving. Hence  $\mathbf{P}(\theta^{-1}(F) \cap \{\pi_0^{(1)} = i\}) = 0$  and therefore  $(\mathbf{P} \otimes \rho)(\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)) = 0$  once again according to (20).

Proposition 6 allows to define the Frobenius-Perron operator  $P_{\Phi}$  associated with the skew product  $\Phi$ .

Next, we will associate, in a natural way, some random densities to the metric DS  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, \theta)$  generated by the bivariate probability vector  $q = (q_{ij}); i \in \mathbb{I}, j \in \mathbb{J}$  by considering its second marginal.

## 3.3. An associated class of random densities

The proof of the following result is similar to the proof of Proposition 4 and is omitted.

**Proposition 7.** Let  $f = (f_1, \dots f_J)$  be a finite sequence of functions in  $L^1(\rho)$ . Define the random function  $\xi : \Omega \times E \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\xi(\omega, x) := f_{\pi_0^{(2)}(\omega)}(x); \qquad \omega \in \Omega, \, x \in E.$$
 (22)

Then

$$\mathbf{P}(\omega \in \Omega : \xi(\omega, .) = f_j) = b_j, \quad 1 \le j \le J, \tag{23}$$

where  $b_j$ ;  $1 \le j \le J$  is the marginal probability vector defined by (12).

Remark 8. As for random maps, formula (23) means that

$$\xi(\omega, .) = f_j$$
 with probability  $b_j$ ;  $j = 1, 2, \cdots J$ .

In particular, if  $f_1, \dots, f_J$  are identical (to some  $f \in L^1(\rho)$ ) then  $\xi(\omega, x) = f(x)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $x \in E$ . In other words,  $\xi$  is a deterministic density.

The proof of the following result is adapted from the proof of Proposition 4 of our paper [6].

**Proposition 9.** Let  $\xi$  be the random function defined by (22). Then, for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\int \int_{F \times B} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathbf{P}(F \cap \{\pi_0 = (i,j)\}) \cdot (\int_B f_j d\rho). \tag{24}$$

In particular, if each  $f_j, j \in \mathbb{J}$  is a deterministic density, then  $\xi$  is a random density.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu := \mathbf{P} \otimes \rho$  and for  $F \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{B}$  let  $O := F \times B$ . Using Formula (13) of Lemma 3, we get

$$\int_{O} \xi d\mu = \int_{F} \left( \int_{B} \xi(\omega, x) \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{F \cap \{\pi_{0} = (i,j)\}} \left( \int_{B} \xi(\omega, x) \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{F \cap \{\pi_{0}^{(1)} = i\} \cap \{\pi_{0}^{(2)} = j\}} \left( \int_{B} f_{\pi_{0}^{(2)}(\omega)}(x) \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{F \cap \{\pi_{0} = (i,j)\}} \left( \int_{B} f_{j}(x) \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathbf{P}(F \cap \{\pi_{0} = (i,j)\}) \left( \int_{B} f_{j} d\rho \right).$$

By taking  $F = \Omega$  and B = E in equation (24),  $|\xi|$  instead of  $\xi$ , and by using (11), we obtain

$$\|\xi\|_{1} = \int \int_{\Omega \times E} |\xi| d\mathbf{P} d\rho$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathbf{P}(\Omega \cap \{\pi_{0} = (i, j)\}) (\int_{E} |f_{j}| d\rho)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij} \cdot \|f_{j}\|_{1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{J} (\sum_{i=1}^{I} q_{ij}) \|f_{j}\|_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} b_{j} \|f_{j}\|_{1}.$$

Therefore  $\|\xi\|_1 = 1$  whenever  $\|f_1\|_1 = \cdots = \|f_J\|_1 = 1$ .

#### 3.4. Invariant random densities

For any  $1 \leq i \leq I$  let  $P_{T_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq I$  be the Frobenius-Perron operator of the single map  $T_i$ , defined implicitly by equation (1).

Let  $\xi$  be the random function defined by (22) for a given sequence  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_J)$  of functions in  $L^1(\rho)$ .

**Proposition 10.** For all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\int \int_{\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(F) \cdot \int_{B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij} P_{T_{i}} f_{j} \right) d\rho.$$
 (25)

*Proof.* Denote by U the left term of the equality (25). Starting from the definitions (15) and (22) and using formulas (13), (21) we get

$$U = \int \int_{\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)} \xi(\omega, x) \rho(dx) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \int_{\theta^{-1}(F)} \left( \int_{\phi(\omega)^{-1}(B)} \xi(\omega, x) \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \int_{\theta^{-1}(F)} \left( \int_{T_{\pi_0^{(1)}(\omega)}^{-1}(B)} f_{\pi_0^{(2)}(\omega)}(x) \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{\theta^{-1}(F) \cap \{\pi_0 = (i,j)\}} \left( \int_{T_i^{-1}(B)} f_j(x) \rho(dx) \right) \mathbf{P}(d\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathbf{P} \left( \theta^{-1}(F) \cap \{\pi_0 = (i,j)\} \right) \cdot \left( \int_{B} P_{T_i} f_j d\rho \right).$$

Let  $\Gamma := \Gamma_{ij} := \theta^{-1}(F) \cap \{\pi_0 = (i,j)\}$ . By taking F of the form (7) and by using (9), we get

$$\mathbf{P}(\Gamma) = \mathbf{P}(\theta^{-1}(F) \cap \{\pi_0 = (i, j)\})$$

$$= \mathbf{P}(\theta^{-1}\{\pi_0 = (i_0, j_0)\}, ..., \theta^{-1}\{\pi_n = (i_n, j_n)\}, \{\pi_0 = (i, j)\})$$

$$= \mathbf{P}(\pi_1 = (i_0, j_0), \cdots, \pi_{n+1} = (i_n, j_n), \pi_0 = (i, j))$$

$$= q_{i_0 j_0} ... q_{i_n j_n} \cdot q_{ij} = \mathbf{P}(F) \cdot q_{ij}.$$

Thus formula (25) follows immediately.

Now, we come to the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 11.** The random function  $\xi$  defined by (22) is invariant by the skew product given by (19), if and only if  $f_1, \dots, f_K$  are identical to some  $f \in L^1(\rho)$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} a_i P_{T_i} f = f, \tag{26}$$

where  $(a_i), i \in \mathbb{I}$  is the first marginal probability vector defined by (12) and  $P_{T_i}$  is the F-P operator associated to the single map  $T_i, i \in \mathbb{I}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the random function  $\xi$  defined by (22) is invariant with respect to the skew production  $\Phi$  defined by (19), that is

$$\int \int_{\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P} = \int \int_{F \times B} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P}; \quad F \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{B}$$
 (27)

Now, by taking  $F_{kl} = \{\pi_0 = (k, l)\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{I}, l \in \mathbb{J}$  and by using (11), Formula (25) becomes

$$\int \int_{\Phi^{-1}(F_{kl} \times B)} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P} = q_{kl} \cdot \int_{B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij} P_{T_i} f_j \right) d\rho, \tag{28}$$

while Formula (24) becomes (using the fact that  $\mathbf{P}(F_{kl} \cap \{\pi_0 = (i, j)\}) = \mathbf{P}(\pi_0 = (k, l) \cap \{\pi_0 = (i, j)\})$ 

$$\int \int_{F_{bl} \times B} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P} = q_{kl} \int_{B} f_{l} d\rho. \tag{29}$$

Now, by combining (27), (28), and (29) we deduce that

$$\int_{B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij} P_{T_{i}} f_{j} \right) d\rho = \int_{B} f_{l} d\rho \tag{30}$$

for all  $l \in \mathbb{J}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since the left hand (30) does not depend on l, we deduce that

$$\int_B f_l \, d\rho = \int_B f_m \, d\rho$$

for all  $1 \leq l, m \leq J$  and any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , which means that all deterministic densities  $f_l$  are identical.

Let  $f := f_1 = \cdots = f_J$ . Notice first that by linearity of  $P_{T_i}$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij} P_{T_i} f_j = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij} P_{T_i} f = \sum_{i=1}^{I} P_{T_i} (\sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{ij}) f$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I} P_{T_i} a_i f = \sum_{i=1}^{I} a_i P_{T_i} f.$$

Therefore, (30) is reduced to

$$\int_{B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{I} a_{i} P_{T_{i}} f \right) d\rho = \int_{B} f d\rho; \quad B \in \mathcal{B}$$
(31)

which is equivalent to (26).

Conversely, if  $f := f_1 = \cdots = f_J$ , then following Remark 8,  $\xi(\omega, x) = f(x)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $x \in E$ . Hence (25) will be equivalent to

$$\int \int_{\Phi^{-1}(F \times B)} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(F) \int_{B} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{I} a_{i} P_{T_{i}} f \right) d\rho$$
 (32)

while (24) will be the same as

$$\int \int_{F \times B} \xi d\rho d\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(F) \int_{B} f \, d\rho. \tag{33}$$

Finally, combining (31), (32), and (33), we deduce that (27) holds.

**Remarks 12.** 1- The main result of this paper (Theorem 11) extends a previous result (Theorem 7) in our paper [6] where we have considered the particular case I = J and  $q_{ij} = p_i p_j$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le I$ , that is the marginals are identical and independent.

2- In general, a bivariate probability vector may have some zero entries (i.e.  $q_{kl} = 0$  for some k, l). In this case, it is always supposed that  $a_i \neq 0, b_j \neq 0$  for all i, j. It can be easily verified that Theorem 11 remains true in this case.

#### References

- [1] L. Arnold, Random Dynamical Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1998).
- [2] T. Bogenschuetz, Z.S. Kowalski, Exacteness of skew products with expanding fibre maps, *Studia Mathematica*, **120**, No 2 (1996), 159-168.
- [3] A. Boyarsky, P. Gora, Laws of Chaos, Invariant Measures and Dynamical Systems in One Dimension, Springer Science and Business Media, Birkhaueser (1997).
- [4] J. Ding, A. Zhou, Statistical Properties of Deterministic Systems, Springer-Verlag (2009).
- [5] M. Hmissi, On Koopman and Perron-Frobenius operators of random dynamical systems, *ESAIM Proceedings*, **46** (2014), 132-145.
- [6] M. Hmissi, F. Mokchaha, On some random densities for random maps, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 24, No 1 (2018), 127-137.
- [7] M.S. Islam, Piecewise linear least squares approximations of invariant measures for random maps, *Neural, Parallel, and Scientific Computations*, **23** (2015), 129-136.
- [8] M.S. Islam, A. Swishchuk, Random Dynamical Systems in Finance, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group (2013).
- [9] Y. Kifer, Equilibrum states for random expanding transformations, Random Comput. Dynamics, 1 (1992), 1-31.
- [10] A.N. Kolmogorov, Foundations of the Theory of Probability, Chelsea Publishing Compagny, New York (1956).
- [11] Z.S. Kowalski, The exacteness of generalized skew products, *Osaka J. Math.*, **30** (1993), 57-61.
- [12] A. Lasota, M.C. Mackey, *Chaos, Fractals, and Noise: Stochastic Aspects of Dynamics*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag (1994).
- [13] S. Pelikan, Invariant densities for random maps of the intervals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **281** (1984), 813-825.

- [14] D. Petritis, On the pertinence to Physics of random walks induced by random dynamical systems: A survey, *Journal of Physics: Conference Ser.*, **738** (2016), 1-7.
- [15] H. Tian, J. Ding, N.H. Rhee, Approximations of Frobenius-Perron operators via Piecewise quadratic functions, *Dyn. Syst. Applications*, **25** (2016), 557-574.